UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/722,580	11/26/2003	Mitchell Clark Voges	67175523.001102	5675		
23562 BAKER & MC	7590 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	7	EXAMINER			
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT	BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER				
2001 ROSS AV SUITE 2300	/ENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX	75201	3711				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

In	ten	/iew	Sum	mary
			Juili	mu y

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/722,580	VOGES ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen L. Blau	3711	

		7 0			
	Stephen L. Blau	3711			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Stephen L. Blau</u> .	(3)				
(2) Mr. Noel C. Gillespie (Reg. No. 47,596).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>03 December 2007</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Boehm, Naruo, Hammond and Ashcraft.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the order that Boehm does the fitting. Discussed how the optimum head variables are used to select the shaft characteristics. Discussed the order of the Applicant's fitting method and how swing information might being used independently for different components for the club. Discussed how with Ashcraft the alignment line of the bore, shaft and hosel is not the same between different heads. Discussed how Ashcraft is concerned mainly with the different lies and the Applicant's method does not modify the lie. Dicussed if the teaching of Ashcraft would be obvious to have a quick disconnect for other changes on a head. Discussed if the teaching of Boehm in selecting loft and ball spin would be obvious to combine with other shaft selection methods. Discussed that Boehm is a 102(e) reference.